

Economics 519 Final Exam
University of Arizona
Fall 2016

Closed-book part: Don't consult books or notes until you've handed in your solutions to Problems #1 - #4. After you do consult books or notes, your solutions to Problems #1 - #4 will not be accepted.

1. Let (X, d) be a metric space (which may or may not be complete) and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction mapping on X . Prove that f has at most one fixed point — *i.e.*, any fixed point must be unique.

2. Prove that if a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to \bar{x} in a metric space (X, d) , then every subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ converges to \bar{x} .

3. Define the relation \succsim on \mathbb{R}^2 by $\mathbf{x}' \succsim \mathbf{x} \Leftrightarrow [x'_1 \geq x_1 \ \& \ x'_2 \geq x_2]$, and define the strict relation \succ and the equivalence relation \sim from \succsim in the usual way.

(a) In a diagram, depict the weak upper- and lower-contour sets of a typical point $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

(b) Prove that \succsim is a preorder, *i.e.*, is reflexive and transitive.

(c) Is \succsim a complete preorder? What is the equivalence class $[\bar{x}]$ of a typical $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$? Verify your answers.

(d) Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary real number, and let $X = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 + x_2 \leq c\}$. Is a maximal element for \succsim on X also a maximum element? If yes, provide a proof; if not, provide an example of a maximal element that is not a maximum.

4. Prove that the interior of a convex set in \mathbb{R}^n is convex.

Open-book part: Be sure to turn in your solutions to Problems #1 - #4 before using notes or books.

5. Assume that the sum of any two convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n is convex, and prove by induction that the sum of any finite collection of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n is convex.

Problem #6 is on the following page

6. Let S be the unit simplex in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : $S = \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \mid p_1 + p_2 = 1\}$. For any fixed $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, define the budget set correspondence $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$ in the usual way:

$$f(\mathbf{p}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \mid \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}\}.$$

(a) Prove that f is a closed correspondence, *i.e.*, that f has a closed graph. For this you may use, without proving them, such properties of real sequences as these:

If $\lim x_n = \bar{x}$ and $\lim y_n = \bar{y}$, then $\lim(x_n + y_n) = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$ and $\lim x_n y_n = \bar{x} \bar{y}$.

If $\lim x_n = \bar{x}$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \leq a$, then $\bar{x} \leq a$.

(b) Now assume that $\beta > \max\{\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2\}$ and that $K = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \mid 0 \leq x_1 \leq \beta, 0 \leq x_2 \leq \beta\}$. Define $g : S \rightarrow K$ by $g(\mathbf{p}) = f(\mathbf{p}) \cap K$. Using any results from the lecture notes that you need, prove that g is upper-hemicontinuous.